## Higgs Physics - Theory Lecture 3

## From Higgs-boson properties to new physics

Laura Reina



CERN-Fermilab HCP Summer School, CERN, August, 29 2019

#### • Lecture 1: the Standard-Model Higgs boson.

- $\hookrightarrow$  EW gauge symmetry, Higgs mechanism.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Higgs-boson interactions.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Quantum constraints.

#### • Lecture 2: Higgs-boson physics at the LHC.

- $\hookrightarrow$  Production and decay modes, what do they probe.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Theoretical predictions and their accuracy.

#### • Lecture 3: from Higgs-boson properties to new physics.

- $\hookrightarrow$  Probing specific extensions of the SM.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Probing classes of interactions within SM boundaries.

EW+Higgs precision physics in the LHC era: What does it imply for theory?

- **Q1**: How accurate?  $\hookrightarrow$  See yesterday's lecture.
- **Q2**: How to interpret deviations from SM prediction?
  - NP can just rescale the Higgs-boson couplings:  $\kappa_i = g_{Hi}/g_{Hi}^{SM}$ : only limited scope.
  - **NP** can **introduce new structures** in Higgs couplings: how to explore?
    - $\hookrightarrow$  **Model-specific** approach: more stringent, yet arbitrary.
    - $\hookrightarrow$  Effective Field Theory approach: less arbitrary, systematic, but less prone to simple prescriptions.
    - $\hookrightarrow$  We may <u>need both</u> ...

## Constraining NP via deviations from SM Higgs-boson couplings: rescaling factors $(\kappa_i)$



## Constraining $\kappa_i$ from Higgs data+EWPO

### Example:

 $\kappa_V \to \text{all } g_{HV}$  $\kappa_f \to \text{all } g_{Hf}$ 

#### Higgs only

	68%	95%	correlation
$\kappa_V$	$1.02\pm0.03$	[0.97,  1.08]	1.00
$\kappa_f$	$0.98\pm0.07$	[0.84,  1.12]	0.24  1.00

#### Higgs+EWPO

	68%	95%	correlation
$\kappa_V$	$1.02\pm0.02$	[0.99, 1.06]	1.00
$\kappa_f$	$1.00\pm0.06$	[0.88, 1.12]	0.14 1.00

## $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^{\rm SM} + \delta \sigma_i$ $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j^{\rm SM} + \delta \Gamma_j$

 $\sigma_i^{\text{SM}}, \Gamma_j^{\text{SM}} \to \text{from Higgs XS WG}$  (CERN Yellow Report, arXiv:1610.07922)  $\delta \sigma_i \to \text{using Madgraph} + \text{K-factors}$  (from Higgs XS WG)  $\delta \Gamma_j \to \text{eHdecay}$  [Contino et al., arXiv:1403.3381]

#### $\longrightarrow$ Main effect on $\kappa_V$



## Constraining NP via SM Effective Field Theory

Extension of the SM Lagrangian by d > 4 operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}^{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{d>4} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{L}_d = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \mathcal{L}_5 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{L}_6 + \cdots$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_d = \sum_i C_i \mathcal{O}_i, \quad [\mathcal{O}_i] = d,$$

considering:

- $\rightarrow$  one Higgs doublet of  $SU(2)_L$ , linearly realized SSB
- $\rightarrow$  no  $\mathcal{L}_5$  (only one operator affecting neutrino masses)
- $\rightarrow~d=6~operators~only,$  obeying SM gauge symmetry, L and B conservation
  - $\hookrightarrow$  expansion in  $(p, v)/\Lambda$
  - $\hookrightarrow$  truncation at linear order  $\to O((p,v)^2/\Lambda^2)$  to be verified a posteriori.

and requiring:

 $\rightarrow$  flavour universality: 59 operators

[basis by Grzadkowski et al., JHEP 1010 (2010)  $085 \rightarrow \text{Warsaw basis}$ ]

- $\rightarrow~{\bf CP}$  even operators only, with at least one Higgs: 27 operators
- $\rightarrow$  only operators contributing to the observables considered.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi G} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi W} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) W^{I}_{\mu\nu} W^{I\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi B} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi WB} = (\phi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\phi) W^{I}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi D} = (\phi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\phi)^{*} (\phi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\phi)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi\Box} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{*} \Box (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)$$

- corrections to:
  - oblique parameters (in red)
  - $HVV \longrightarrow \kappa_V$
  - WWZ and  $WW\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\phi L}^{(1)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \phi) (\overline{L} \gamma^{\mu} L) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi L}^{(3)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} \phi) (\overline{L} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} L) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi e}^{(3)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \phi) (\overline{e}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} e_{R}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi Q}^{(1)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \phi) (\overline{Q} \gamma^{\mu} Q) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi Q}^{(3)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} \phi) (\overline{Q} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} Q) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi u}^{(3)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \phi) (\overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\phi d}^{(4)} &= (\phi^{\dagger} i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \phi) (\overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R}) \end{aligned}$$

single-fermionic-vector-currentoperators

> corrections to:  $\longrightarrow$

- Vff (in blue)
  HVff

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\mathcal{O}_{e\phi} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\bar{L}\,e_R\phi)}{\mathcal{O}_{u\phi} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\bar{Q}\,u_R\tilde{\phi})} \\ & \underset{\mathcal{O}_{d\phi} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\bar{Q}\,d_R\phi)}{\mathcal{O}_{d\phi} = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\bar{Q}\,d_R\phi)} \\ & \xrightarrow{} & \text{corrections to:} \\ & \underbrace{} & \text{Yukawa couplings} \\ & & Hf\bar{f} \rightarrow \kappa_f \\ \\ & \text{four-fermion operator} \\ & \xrightarrow{} & \text{corrections to:} \\ & & G_F \text{ extraction from } \mu \text{ decay} \\ & & \underbrace{} & \text{bosonic operator, no } \phi \\ \\ & \mathcal{O}_W = \epsilon^{IJK} W^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho} \\ & \xrightarrow{} & \text{corrections to:} \\ & & \text{gauge self-interactions} \\ \end{split}$$

#### Notice:

Only highlighted operators (10) enters EWPO, and only 8 combinations can be constrained  $\longrightarrow$  "flat directions"

#### Where effective operators matter ...

# They shift masses and couplings in $\mathcal{L}_{SM}$ and introduce new interactions.

Example: Consider  $O_{\phi D}$  and  $O_{\phi \Box}$ . Upon SSB (unitary gauge):

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{\phi D} &= (\phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \phi)^{*} (\phi^{\dagger} D_{\mu} \phi) = \\
\frac{v^{2}}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{eH}{v} + \frac{H^{2}}{v^{2}} \right) (\partial^{\mu} H) (\partial_{\mu} H) + \frac{g^{2} v^{4}}{16c_{W}^{2}} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \left( 1 + \frac{4H}{v} + \frac{6H^{2}}{v^{2}} + \frac{4H^{3}}{v^{3}} + \frac{H^{4}}{v^{4}} \right) \\
\mathcal{O}_{\phi \Box} &= (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{*} \Box (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) = -(v^{2} + 4vH + 4H^{2}) (\partial^{\mu} H) (\partial_{\mu} H)
\end{aligned}$$

<u>New interactions</u>:  $H(\partial^{\mu} H)(\partial_{\mu} H), H^2(\partial^{\mu} H)(\partial_{\mu} H), \dots$  (<u>notice</u>:  $\rightarrow p$ -dependence) and they both <u>affect the H kinetic term</u>  $\rightarrow$  <u>normalize it by shifting the H field</u>:

$$H = H'\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\hat{C}_{HD} + \hat{C}_{H\Box}\right)$$

where  $\hat{C}_i = C_i v^2 / \Lambda^2$ . This shift affects the *HVV* and *Hff* vertices, and the Higgs mass, now be given by:

$$M_H^2 = 2\lambda v^2 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2\lambda} \hat{C}_H - \frac{1}{2} C_{HD} + 2\hat{C}_{H\Box} \right)$$

<u>Notice</u>:  $O_H = (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^3$  affects  $V(\phi) (\rightarrow M_H^2)$ . Not among the listed operators since its effect can be observed only by the measurement of both  $M_H$  and  $\lambda$ .

#### Towards Global Fits of d=6 interactions

 $\rightarrow$  Combined global EW fit of 8 combinations of dim=6 operators.



[J. de Blas, talk at Lepton-Photon 2019]

Large difference between global and individual bounds  $\rightarrow$  Large correlations

 $\rightarrow$  Combined global EW+Higgs fit of extended set of operators



[J. de Blas, talk at Lepton-Photon 2019]

- $\hookrightarrow$  Lifted degeneracy among EWPO operators.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Large difference between global and individual bounds  $\rightarrow$ Large correlations
- $\hookrightarrow$  Studies should **aim for global fit** of all necessary operators.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Increasing precision can boost effectiveness in constraining new physics.

## Bounds on operators can be translated in bounds on $\Lambda_{NP}$

 $\rightarrow$  Extended set of operators, switching on **one operator at a time** 

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

- EWPO constraints still more stringent: **Higgs bounds**  $\leq$  **EWPO bounds**
- Increasing precision in constraining the  $C_i$  can greatly boost the reach in  $\Lambda$ !
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Need to incrementally move towards more **global fits**.
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Need to use **more observables**: Higgs kinematic distributions, EW triple-gauge-coupling measurements, ...
  - $\hookrightarrow$  incrementally release flavour universality  $\rightarrow t$ -quark observables  $(b, \tau)$ .
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Include NLO QCD/EW corrections and running of  $C_i$ .
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Explore validity of linear vs quadratic approximation : is it consistent?

## Projected bounds for $\Lambda$ at future colliders

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Most recent study:

J. de Blas et al., Higgs boson studies at future particle colliders, arxiv:1905.03764 prepared for the

"Symposium on the Update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics", Granada, May 13-16 2019.

## Effect of new interactions: Higgs $p_T$ in $gg \to H$

Not visible in the inclusive cross sections, but in the shape of distributions.

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

[Grazzini et al., arXiv:1612.00283]

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

Include  $O_{\phi G}$  and  $O_{u\phi}$  in NLO+NLL computation: simultaneous effects of two or more operators affects high-energy tail of the spectrum.

## Probing the gluon-Higgs vs top-Higgs interactions

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

[Maltoni et al., arXiv:1607.05330]

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

Combining:			
inclusive H			
ttH			
HH			
boosted H			
off-shell H			

[Azatov et al., arXiv:1608.00977]

## Effect of new interactions: Higgs $p_T$ in VH and VBF

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Degrande et al., arXiv:1612.00283]

- $\hookrightarrow$  Includes NLO QCD matched to PS, validated with both MG5aMC@NLO and POWHEG-BOX.
- $\hookrightarrow$  Question: consistency of EFT.

#### From SM-EFT to specific models

Specific model  $\rightarrow \{O_i\} \longrightarrow$  bounds on  $\{C_i\} \rightarrow$  bounds on the mode

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Broad spectrum of searches, old and new ideas

#### $2\mathrm{HDM}:$ natural extension, MSSM motivated, FC scalar currents

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

[Eberhardt, Chowdhury, arXiv:1711.02095]

Favor alignment scenario  $\rightarrow$  consistent with SM-like couplings and EWPO Towards a decoupling scenario:  $M_h \ll M_H, M_A, M_{H^{\pm}}$ , i.e. spectrum of very heavy scalars.

#### 2HDM - Type II, MSSM-like, quick guide

Two complex  $SU(2)_L$  doublets, with hypercharge  $Y = \pm 1$ :

$$\Phi_u = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_u^+ \\ \phi_u^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \Phi_d = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_d^0 \\ \phi_d^- \end{pmatrix}$$

and (super)potential (Higgs part only):

$$V_{H} = (|\mu|^{2} + m_{u}^{2})|\Phi_{u}|^{2} + (|\mu|^{2} + m_{d}^{2})|\Phi_{d}|^{2} - \mu B\epsilon_{ij}(\Phi_{u}^{i}\Phi_{d}^{j} + h.c.)$$
  
+  $\frac{g^{2} + g'^{2}}{8}(|\Phi_{u}|^{2} - |\Phi_{d}|^{2})^{2} + \frac{g^{2}}{2}|\Phi_{u}^{\dagger}\Phi_{d}|^{2}$ 

The EW symmetry is spontaneously broken by choosing:

$$\langle \Phi_u \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_u \end{pmatrix} , \quad \langle \Phi_d \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

normalized to preserve the SM relation:

$$M_W^2 = g^2 (v_u^2 + v_d^2)/4 = g^2 v^2/4$$

Five physical scalar/pseudoscalar degrees of freedom:

$$h^{0} = -(\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\Phi_{d}^{0} - v_{d})\sin\alpha + (\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\Phi_{u}^{0} - v_{u})\cos\alpha$$
$$H^{0} = (\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\Phi_{d}^{0} - v_{d})\cos\alpha + (\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\Phi_{u}^{0} - v_{u})\sin\alpha$$
$$A^{0} = \sqrt{2}\left(\operatorname{Im}\Phi_{d}^{0}\sin\beta + \operatorname{Im}\Phi_{u}^{0}\cos\beta\right)$$
$$H^{\pm} = \Phi_{d}^{\pm}\sin\beta + \Phi_{u}^{\pm}\cos\beta$$

where  $\tan \beta = v_u / v_d$ .

All masses can be expressed (at tree level) in terms of  $|\tan\beta$  and  $M_A$  :

$$M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = M_A^2 + M_W^2$$

$$M_{H,h}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( M_A^2 + M_Z^2 \pm \left( (M_A^2 + M_Z^2)^2 - 4M_Z^2 M_A^2 \cos^2 2\beta \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

Notice: tree level upper bound on  $M_h$ :  $\left| M_h^2 \leq M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta \leq M_Z^2 \right|$ !

## Higgs boson couplings to SM gauge bosons:

Some phenomenologically important ones:

$$g_{hVV} = g_V M_V \sin(\beta - \alpha) g^{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad g_{HVV} = g_V M_V \cos(\beta - \alpha) g^{\mu\nu}$$

where  $g_V = 2M_V/v$  for V = W, Z, and

$$g_{hAZ} = \frac{g\cos(\beta - \alpha)}{2\cos\theta_W} (p_h - p_A)^{\mu} , \quad g_{HAZ} = -\frac{g\sin(\beta - \alpha)}{2\cos\theta_W} (p_H - p_A)^{\mu}$$
  
Notice:  $g_{AZZ} = g_{AWW} = 0$ ,  $g_{H^{\pm}ZZ} = g_{H^{\pm}WW} = 0$   
Decoupling limit:  $M_A \gg M_Z \longrightarrow \begin{cases} M_h \simeq M_h^{max} \\ M_H \simeq M_{H^{\pm}} \simeq M_A \end{cases}$ 

$$\cos^{2}(\beta - \alpha) \simeq \frac{M_{Z}^{4} \sin^{2} 4\beta}{M_{A}^{4}} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \cos(\beta - \alpha) \to 0\\ \sin(\beta - \alpha) \to 1 \end{cases}$$

The only low energy Higgs is  $h \simeq H_{SM}$ .

#### Higgs boson couplings to quarks and leptons:

Yukawa type couplings,  $\Phi_u$  to up-component and  $\Phi_d$  to down-component of  $SU(2)_L$  fermion doublets. Ex. (3<sup>rd</sup> generation quarks):

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} = h_t \left[ \bar{t} P_L t \Phi_u^0 - \bar{t} P_L b \Phi_u^+ \right] + h_b \left[ \bar{b} P_L b \Phi_d^0 - \bar{b} P_L t \Phi_d^- \right] + \text{h.c.}$$

and similarly for leptons. The corresponding couplings can be expressed as  $(y_t, y_b \to SM)$ :

$$g_{ht\bar{t}} = \frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta}y_t = [\sin(\beta - \alpha) + \cot\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha)]y_t$$

$$g_{hb\bar{b}} = -\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}y_b = [\sin(\beta - \alpha) - \tan\beta\cos(\beta - \alpha)]y_b$$

$$g_{Ht\bar{t}} = \frac{\sin\alpha}{\sin\beta}y_t = [\cos(\beta - \alpha) - \cot\beta\sin(\beta - \alpha)]y_t$$

$$g_{Hb\bar{b}} = \frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\beta}y_b = [\cos(\beta - \alpha) + \tan\beta\sin(\beta - \alpha)]y_b$$

$$g_{At\bar{t}} = \cot\beta y_t , \quad g_{Ab\bar{b}} = \tan\beta y_b$$

$$g_{H\pm t\bar{b}} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}M_W}[m_t\cot\beta(1 + \gamma_5) + m_b\tan\beta(1 - \gamma_5)]$$

Notice: consistent decoupling limit behavior.

#### Heavy-scalar and charged-scalar searches further explore parameter space.

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### More exotic scenarios

- Higgs FCNC decays  $(H \to e\tau, H \to \mu\tau, t \to Hc, \ldots)$
- Higgs decays to BSM gauge bosons  $(U(1)_{dark})$
- Higgs decays to light scalars  $(H \rightarrow aa, a = \text{axion-like particle or ALP})$

#### Axion-like particles (ALP)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Bauer et al., arXiv:1808.10323]

ALP: pseudo-Goldstone bosons of SB global symmetry (NP at scale  $\Lambda$ )  $\hookrightarrow \underline{light}$  pseudoscalar messangers of NP

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm a} + \dots + \frac{C_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Lambda} a F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + \dots + \frac{C_{ah}}{\Lambda^2} (\partial^{\mu} a) (\partial_{\mu} a) \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \frac{C_{aZ}}{\Lambda^3} (\partial^{\mu} a) (\phi^{\dagger} i D_{\mu} \phi) \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \dots$$

LHC gives access in particular to:  $H \to Za \to l^+l^-2\gamma$  and  $H \to aa \to 4\gamma$   $\hookrightarrow$  models with extra singlet-scalar very important templates for future collider studies! [see e.g, Heinemann and Nir, arXiv:1905.00382]

## Could new physics be beyond reach?

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Buttazzo et al., arXiv:1307.3536

Including quantum effects in the study of the Higgs potential, for  $M_h \approx 125$  GeV, a condition of **criticality**  $(\lambda \to 0)$  is **reached for**  $\Lambda \approx 10^{10} - 10^{12}$  GeV.

Is this a signal of NP below the Planck scale?

## Outlook

- After the discovery of the Higgs-boson during Run I of the LHC, a major effort to **develop a full-fledged precision program to measure its couplings** has been growing.
- **Indirect evidence of new physics** from Higgs-boson and EW precision measurements could come from the synergy between
  - $\rightarrow\,$  accurate theoretical prediction,
  - $\rightarrow\,$  a systematic approach to the study of new effective interactions,
  - $\rightarrow$  the intuition and experience of many years of Beyond SM searches!
- Increasing the precision of input parameters could allow to test higher scales of new physics: a factor of 10 in precision could give access to scales as high as 100 TeV.
- **Direct evidence** of new physics will boost this process, as the discovery of a Higgs-boson has prompted and guided us in this new era of LHC physics.
- Even **no new discovery** and just **indirect evidence** would mean a lot!