Teoria e fenomenologia dei modelli di Higgs composto

Roberto Contino - CERN

PART I:

Quick review of the Composite Higgs



1-loop potential for the pseudo-Goldstone Higgs



only loops with virtual elementary fields generate a potential

Higgs couplings switch off at large
 momenta → finiteness



periodic function $(H \in G/G')$

 $V(h) \approx \frac{3 y_t^2}{16 \pi^2} m_{\rho}^2 f^2$

 $\lambda_4 \sim \frac{3}{16\pi^2} y_t^2 g_{\rho}^2$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \langle H \rangle & \langle H \rangle \\ & & & & \\ W^3 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & &$$

$$m_{\rho} \sim \frac{4\pi J}{\sqrt{N}}$$

$$S \sim 16\pi \left(\frac{v}{m_{\rho}}\right)^2 \sim \xi \frac{N}{\pi}$$

 $\Lambda - f$

 $\xi \to 0$ decoupling limit: $[f \to \infty]$

All ρ 's become heavy and one re-obtains the SM Constraint on the strong sector from the LEP precision tests :

Custodial Symmetry

$$\rho = \frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W} \qquad \qquad \Delta \rho \equiv (\rho - 1) = \frac{4}{v^2} \left[\Pi_{11}(0) - \Pi_{33}(0) \right]$$

• The bound from LEP $\Delta \rho \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ strongly constrains tree-level corrections

• If the residual symmetry after EWSB is just U(1)_Q there will be tree-level corrections from the strong sector to $\Delta \rho$

$$\langle J^1_{\mu} J^1_{\nu} \rangle \neq \langle J^3_{\mu} J^3_{\nu} \rangle$$



A larger preserved "custodial" symmetry SU(2)_C under which Jⁱ_μ transforms like a triplet can protect Δρ
 [Sikivie et al. NPB 173 (1980) 189]

 $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \to SU(2)_C$

$$\left\langle J^1_{\mu}J^1_{\nu}\right\rangle = \left\langle J^3_{\mu}J^3_{\nu}\right\rangle$$

Equivalence with 5D warped field theories:



PART II:

Implications for the LHC

1. How to tell whether the Higgs is composite

2. Direct production of new states

An effective Lagrangian for the Strongly Interacting Light Higgs

built along the rules of the chiral expansion:

Giudice, Grojean, Pomarol, Rattazzi JHEP 0706:045 (2007)

- 1. each extra Goldstone leg is weighted by a factor 1/f
- 2. each derivative is weighted by a factor $1/m_{\rho}$
- 3. higher dimensional operators that violate the symmetry of the σ -model must be suppressed by g_{SM}

at the level of dimension-6 operators:

S

S

strong constraint from LEP

$$\mathcal{L}\rho = c_T \zeta$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SILH}} = \frac{c_H}{2f^2} \partial^{\mu} \left(H^{\dagger}H\right) \partial_{\mu} \left(H^{\dagger}H\right) + \frac{c_T}{2f^2} \left(H^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{D^{\mu}}H\right) \left(H^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\right) \qquad \text{probe} \\ \text{strong} \\ \text{coupling} \\ - \frac{c_6\lambda}{f^2} \left(H^{\dagger}H\right)^3 + \left(\frac{c_y y_f}{f^2} H^{\dagger}H\bar{f}_L H f_R + \text{h.c.}\right) \qquad \text{probe} \\ \text{strong} \\ \text{coupling} \\ + \frac{ic_W g}{2m_{\rho}^2} \left(H^{\dagger}\sigma^i\overrightarrow{D^{\mu}}H\right) \left(D^{\nu}W_{\mu\nu}\right)^i + \frac{ic_B g'}{2m_{\rho}^2} \left(H^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{D^{\mu}}H\right) \left(\partial^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}\right) \\ + \frac{ic_H wg}{m_{\rho}^2} \frac{g_{\rho}^2}{16\pi^2} \left(D^{\mu}H\right)^{\dagger}\sigma^i (D^{\nu}H) W_{\mu\nu}^i + \frac{ic_H g'}{m_{\rho}^2} \frac{g_{\rho}^2}{16\pi^2} \left(D^{\mu}H\right)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu}H) B_{\mu\nu} \\ \text{nore than} \\ 1\text{-loop} \\ \text{uppressed} + \frac{c_\gamma g'^2}{m_{\rho}^2} \frac{g_{\rho}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{g^2}{g_{\rho}^2} H^{\dagger}H B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_g g_S^2}{m_{\rho}^2} \frac{g_{\rho}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{g_{\mu}^2}{g_{\rho}^2} H^{\dagger}H G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu} \\ \end{array}$$

form factors dominant effect: subdominant role in scattering amplitudes $\hat{S} = (c_W + c_B) \frac{m_W^2}{m^2}$ one combination shift in the Higgs couplings constrained by LEP: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SILH}} = \frac{c_H}{2f^2} \partial^{\mu} \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) \partial_{\mu} \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) + \frac{c_T}{2f^2} \left(H^{\dagger} \overleftarrow{D^{\mu}} H \right) \left(H^{\dagger} \overleftarrow{D}_{\mu} H \right) \\ - \frac{c_6 \lambda}{f^2} \left(H^{\dagger} H \right)^3 + \left(\frac{c_y y_f}{f^2} H^{\dagger} H \bar{f}_L H f_R + \text{h.c.} \right)$ $+\frac{ic_Wg}{2m_o^2}\left(H^{\dagger}\sigma^i\overleftrightarrow{D^{\mu}}H\right)\left(D^{\nu}W_{\mu\nu}\right)^i+\frac{ic_Bg'}{2m^2}\left(H^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D^{\mu}}H\right)\left(\partial^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}\right)$ $+\frac{ic_{HW}g}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}(D^{\mu}H)^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}(D^{\nu}H)W_{\mu\nu}^{i}+\frac{ic_{HB}g'}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}(D^{\mu}H)^{\dagger}(D^{\nu}H)B_{\mu\nu}$ $+ \frac{c_{\gamma}g'^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{q_{\rho}^{2}} H^{\dagger}HB_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{g}g_{S}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{y_{t}^{2}}{q_{\rho}^{2}} H^{\dagger}HG_{\mu\nu}^{a}G^{a\mu\nu}$

directly affect Higgs gluon production and Higgs decay to photons (subdominant compared to C_H)

shifts in the Higgs couplings:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma\left(h \to f\bar{f}\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to f\bar{f}\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi\left(2c_y + c_H\right)\right] \\ &\Gamma\left(h \to W^+W^-\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to W^+W^{(*)-}\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi\left(c_H - \frac{g^2}{g_\rho^2}\hat{c}_W\right)\right] \\ &\Gamma\left(h \to ZZ\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to ZZ^{(*)}\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi\left(c_H - \frac{g^2}{g_\rho^2}\hat{c}_Z\right)\right] \\ &\Gamma\left(h \to gg\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to gg\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi \operatorname{Re}\left(2c_y + c_H + \frac{4y_t^2c_g}{g_\rho^2I_g}\right)\right] \\ &\Gamma\left(h \to \gamma\gamma\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to \gamma\gamma\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2c_y + c_H}{1 + J_\gamma/I_\gamma} + \frac{c_H - \frac{g^2}{g_\rho^2}\hat{c}_W}{1 + I_\gamma/J_\gamma} + \frac{\frac{4g^2}{g_\rho^2}c_\gamma}{I_\gamma + J_\gamma}\right)\right] \\ &\Gamma\left(h \to \gamma Z\right)_{\rm SILH} = \Gamma\left(h \to \gamma Z\right)_{\rm SM} \left[1 - \xi \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2c_y + c_H}{1 + J_\gamma/I_\gamma} + \frac{c_H - \frac{g^2}{g_\rho^2}\hat{c}_W}{1 + I_Z/J_Z} + \frac{4c_{\gamma Z}}{I_\gamma + J_\gamma}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

 $\left[\hat{c}_W = c_W + \left(\frac{g_\rho}{4\pi}\right)^2 c_{HW}, \quad \hat{c}_Z = \hat{c}_W + \tan^2 \theta_W \left[c_B + \left(\frac{g_\rho}{4\pi}\right)^2 c_{HB}\right], \quad c_{\gamma Z} = \frac{c_{HB} - c_{HW}}{4\sin 2\theta_W}\right]$





as stressed in: Barbieri et al. PRD 76 (2007) 115008

the shifts in the Higgs couplings induce an IR correction to the precision parameters $\epsilon_{1,3}$



$$\epsilon_{1,3} = a_{1,3} \log\left(\frac{M_Z^2}{\mu^2}\right) - a_{1,3} \left(1 - c_H \xi\right) \log\left(\frac{m_h^2}{\mu^2}\right) - a_{1,3} \left(c_H \xi\right) \log\left(\frac{m_\rho^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \text{finite terms}$$



WW scattering

• The Higgs compositeness implies a partial unitarization of the WW scattering:



$$A\left(W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-} \to W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}\right) = \frac{g_{2}^{2}}{4M_{W}^{2}}\left[s - \frac{s^{2}\left(1 - c_{H}\xi\right)}{s - m_{h}^{2}} + t - \frac{t^{2}\left(1 - c_{H}\xi\right)}{t - m_{h}^{2}}\right]$$

Unitarity is lost at a scale: $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 / \sqrt{c_H \xi}$ $\Lambda_0 = 1.2 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

Full unitarity is recovered thanks to the exchange of the heavy vectorial resonances



• At large invariant (WW) masses one case thus rescale:

$$\sigma(pp \to V_L V'_L X)_{c_H \xi} \simeq (c_H \xi)^2 \, \sigma(pp \to V_L V'_L X)_{\text{no Higgs}}$$

with $L=200\,{
m fb}^{-1}$ the LHC should be sensitive up to $c_H\xi=0.5-0.7$

[Giudice et al. JHEP 0706:045, 2007]

Strong vector boson scattering is accompanied by strong Higgs production:

$$A\left(Z_L Z_L \to hh\right) = A\left(W_L^+ W_L^- \to hh\right) = \frac{s}{v^2} \ (c_H \xi)$$



with C. Grojean, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, R. Rattazzi

PART II:

Implications for the LHC

1. How to tell whether the Higgs is composite

2. Direct production of new states

THE TOP PARTNERS

A light Higgs requires:

New vector-like quarks with $M \sim 500 \text{ GeV}$ in multiplets of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$



 \therefore Two simple $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ assignments: [$Y = T_{3_R} + X$]



- ✦ largest coupling of the heavy fermions to the Higgs degrees of freedom (W_L, Z_L, h) and to the SM third quark generation
- couplings to well defined SM quark chiralities [Yukawa couplings]







FCNC : absent for a 4th generation !









Single production and decays proceed via these couplings

Pair production proceeds via the usual QCD coupling

Production of the heavy tops ($\tilde{T}, T, T_{2/3}$) has been studied in the literature:

• Single production via bW fusion \rightarrow best channel: $\tilde{T} \rightarrow W^+ b \rightarrow l^+ \nu b$

LHC reach with $L = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$: M = 2 (2.5) TeV for $\lambda_T = 1 (2)$

see: Azuelos et al. Eur.Phys.J. C39S2 (2005) 13 [hep-ph/0402037]

.....

 $L_{disc} = 2.1(90) \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ for $M_{\tilde{T}} = 0.5(1) \, \text{TeV}$

see: J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra PoSTOP2006:003,2006 [hep-ph/0603199] and refs. therein

Pair production of the heavy bottom (*B*) has also been investigated recently:

Skiba and Tucker-Smith PRD 75 (2007) 115010 C. Dennis et al. hep-ph/0701158





Spectacular Final State

→ Challenge: $t\bar{t} + jets$ huge background → hard cuts on M_{eff} needed

• additional strategy proposed by Skiba and Tucker-Smith :

look for highly boosted tops and Ws and cut on single jet invariant mass

- works only for heavy masses $M_B \gtrsim 1 \text{ TeV}$
- results depend on the jet energy algorithm used

I look for $B\overline{B}$ and $T_{5/3}\overline{T}_{5/3}$ in same-sign dilepton final states [R.C., G.Servant arXiv:0801.1679]



✓ $t\bar{t} + jets$ is not a background anymore [except for charge mis-ID]

 \checkmark For the $T_{5/3}$ case one can reconstruct the resonant (tW) invariant mass





Backup slides

Signal and Background Simulation

Signal and SM background have been simulated using:

- MadGraph/MadEvent [MatrixElement] + Pythia [Showering no hadronization or und.event]
- Quark/Jet matching a la MLM
- ✤ Jets reconstructed with a cone algorithm (GetJet) with $\Delta R = 0.4$, $E_T^{min} = 30 \,\text{GeV}$
- + Jet energy and momentum smeared by $100\%/\sqrt{E}$ to simulate the detector resolution

		σ [fb]	$\sigma \times BR(l^{\pm}l^{\pm})$ [fb]
	$T_{5/3}\overline{T}_{5/3}/B\overline{B} + jets (M = 500 \text{ GeV})$	$2.5 imes 10^3$	104
	$T_{5/3}\overline{T}_{5/3}/B\overline{B} + jets (M = 1 \text{ TeV})$	37	1.6
Г	$t\bar{t}W^+W^- + jets \ (\supset t\bar{t}h + jets)$	121	5.1
SM bckg	$t\bar{t}W^{\pm} + jets$	595	18.4
$n_h = 180 \text{ GeV}$]	$W^+W^-W^{\pm} + jets \ (\supset hW^{\pm} + jets)$	603	18.7
	$W^{\pm}W^{\pm} + jets$	340	15.5

other backgrounds:

★ Events where one lepton comes from a b-decay

these leptons are soft: completely removed by our cut $p_T(l) \ge 25 \,\text{GeV}$

★ $t\bar{t} + jets$ events where the charge of one lepton is mis-identified

charge mis-ID probability ϵ_{mis} strongly depends on the lepton's p_T and η

for $t\bar{t} + jets$ the hardest lepton has $p_T(l) \sim 100 \,\text{GeV}$ $\Rightarrow \epsilon_{mis} \sim 10^{-4}$ seems possible $\Rightarrow t\bar{t} + jets$ negligible

★ $Wl^+l^- + jets$ events where one lepton is lost

technically difficult to simulate with all the needed jets

 \rightarrow we estimate it to be $\lesssim 30\%$ of the sum of the included backgrounds

jets – with two different cone sizes



jet invariant mass with two different cone sizes



Strategy and main cuts

- ★ For $\Delta R = 0.4$ only the M=1 TeV signal has one "double" jet from boosted W's
- ★ We demand at least 5 hard jets ($p_T \ge 30 \text{ GeV}$): $l^{\pm}l^{\pm} + n \text{ jets} + \not\!\!\!E_T \quad (n \ge 5)$
- ★ Reference luminosities: 10 fb^{-1} for M = 500 GeV 100 fb^{-1} for M = 1 TeV

Main Cuts:

	$p_T(1st) \ge 100 \text{ GeV}$		$p_T(1st) \ge 50 \text{ GeV}$	
$\underline{jets}: \langle$	$p_T(2nd) \ge 80 \text{ GeV}$	$\underline{leptons}: \langle$	$p_T(2nd) \ge 25 \text{ GeV}$	$\not\!\!E_T \ge 20 \text{ GeV}$
	$n_{jet} \ge 5, \eta_j \le 5$		$ \eta_l \le 2.4, \Delta R_{lj} \ge 0.4$	

	signal (M = 500 GeV)	signal (M = 1 TeV)	$t\bar{t}W$	$t\bar{t}WW$	WWW	$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$
Efficiencies (ϵ_{main})	0.42	0.43	0.074	0.12	0.008	0.01
$\sigma [\mathrm{fb}] \times BR \times \epsilon_{main}$	44.2	0.67	1.4	0.62	0.15	0.16

Mass Reconstruction M=500 GeV



1. Reconstruct 2 W's

 $|M(jj) - m_W| \le 20 \text{ GeV}$

 $\Delta R_{jj}(1 \text{st pair}) \leq 1.5$ $|\vec{p}_T(1 \text{st pair})| \geq 100 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta R_{jj}(2 \text{nd pair}) \leq 2.0$ $|\vec{p}_T(2 \text{nd pair})| \geq 30 \text{ GeV}$

2. Reconstruct 1 top (t=Wj)

$$|M(Wj) - m_t| \le 25 \text{ GeV}$$

	signal (M = 500 GeV)	$t\bar{t}W$	ttWW	WWW	WW
ϵ_{2W}	0.62	0.36	0.49	0.29	0.15
ϵ_{top}	0.65	0.56	0.64	0.35	0.35