Combining electroweak and QCD corrections to Drell-Yan processes

Carlo M. Carloni Calame

INFN & University of Southampton

Workshop sul Monte Carlo, la Fisica e le Simulazioni a LHC Frascati, 18-20 February, 2008

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

- Relevance of DY processes in precision studies at hadron colliders
- QCD corrections
- Electro-weak radiative corrections (as implemented in HORACE)
 - charged-current DY process: single W^{\pm} production
 - neutral-current DY process: single γ/Z production
- Combination EW⊕QCD
- Conclusions

Drell-Yan processes at hadron colliders

- easy detection: high p_{\perp} leptons pair or lepton+missing p_{\perp} (tipically look for $p_{\perp} > 25$ GeV in the central detector region)
- large cross sections. At LHC:
 - $\sigma(W) = 30 \; nb$, i.e. 3×10^8 events with $\mathcal{L} = 10 \; fb^{-1}$
 - $\sigma(Z) = 3.5 \ nb$, i.e. 3.5×10^7 events with $\mathcal{L} = 10 \ fb^{-1}$
 - no statistics limitations for precision physics
- main physics motivations (DY processes are considered "standard candles")
 - * detectors calibration
 - * PDF validation and constraint
 - $\star~W$ mass and Γ measurement
 - * collider luminosity monitoring (as done at LEP with Bhabha)
 - * background to New Physics searches
- · Precise theoretical prediction are strongly required

Linking theory and experiment

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\text{exp}} &\equiv \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{L} dt} \frac{N^{obs} - N^{bkg}}{A \epsilon} = \\ \sigma^{\text{theory}} &\equiv \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 f_{a,H_1}(x_1, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2) f_{b,H_2}(x_2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2) \times \\ &\times \int_{\Phi} d\sigma^h_{a,b}(x_1, x_2, Q^2/\mu_F^2, Q^2/\mu_R^2) \end{split}$$

With DY processes, we can

- monitor the collider luminosity, the parton luminosities, measure the PDFs
 - relevant observables: total cross section, *W* and *Z* rapidity distribution, lepton(s) rapidity distribution
 - an accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ is required/achievable

Frixione & Mangano '04 and refs. therein

- \star measure the W mass and width from M_T^W distribution
- * discover deviations from SM physics in the distribution tails

Status of QCD calculations (& tools)

NLO/NNLO corrections to W/Z total production rate

G. Altarelli, R.K. Ellis, M. Greco and G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B246 (1984) 12

R. Hamberg, W.L. van Neerven, T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 343

W.L. van Neerven and E.B. Zijlstra, Nucl. Phys. B382 (1992) 11

fully exclusive NLO corrections to W/Z production (MCFM)

J. M. Campbell and R.K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D65 113007

fully exclusive NNLO corrections to W/Z production (FEWZ)
 C. Anastasiou et al., Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 094008

K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 231803

• resummation of LL/NLL p_T^W/M_W logs (**RESBOS**)

C. Balazs and C.P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 5558

NLO merged with HERWIG Parton Shower [PS] (MC@NLO)

S. Frixione and B.R. Webber, JHEP 0206 (2002) 029

NLO merged with any PS (POWHEG)

Frixione Nason Ridolfi Oleari

 Matrix elements MC (ALPGEN, SHERPA,...) matched with PS M.L. Mangano et al., JHEP 0307, 001 (2003)

High-precision QCD: W/Z rapidity @ NNLO

C. Anastasiou et al., Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 094008



- First calculation of a differential distribution at NNLO in α_s. NNLO corrections at ~ 2% at the LHC and residual scale dependence below 1%.
- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2) \approx \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em}) \rightarrow \text{need to worry about electroweak corrections!}$

EW calculations for W & tools

- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ electroweak corrections to W production
 - * Pole approximation ($\sqrt{\hat{s}} = M_W$)
 - → D. Wackeroth and W. Hollik, PRD 55 (1997) 6788
 - → U. Baur et al., PRD 59 (1999) 013002
 - ★ Complete $O(\alpha)$ corrections
 - → V.A. Zykunov et al., EPJC 3 9 (2001)
 - → S. Dittmaier and M. Krämer, PRD 65 (2002) 073007 DK
 - → U. Baur and D. Wackeroth, PRD 70 (2004) 073015 WGRAD2
 - → A. Arbuzov, et al., EPJC **46**, 407 (2006)
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., JHEP 0612:016 (2006)
- Multi-photon radiation
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., PRD 69, 037301 (2004); JHEP 0612:016 (2006)
 - → S. Jadach, W. Płaczek, EPJC **29** 325 (2003)

HORACE WINHAC

SANC

HORACE

• $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ electroweak corrections to Z production

- ★ QED corrections
 - → U. Baur, et al., Phys. Rev. D57 (1998) 199 (ZGRAD)
- ★ Complete $O(\alpha)$ corrections
 - → U. Baur, et al., Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 033007 (ZGRAD2)
 - → C.M.C.C. et al, JHEP 0710:109 (2007) (HORACE)
 - → Bardin et al., arXiv:0711.0625 [hep-ph] (SANC)
- Multi-photon radiation
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., JHEP 0505:019 (2005) + JHEP 0710:109 (2007) (HORACE)
 - → W. Płaczek et al., in preparation (ZINHAC)

NLO EW calculation (in HORACE)

As usual, the (partonic) NLO EW calculation is split into two parts (e.g. W production)

•
$$u\bar{d} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2} = \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{M}_\alpha^{virt}(\lambda)$$

• $u\bar{d} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2\to3} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E) + \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{hard}(\Delta E)$$
$$|\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|^2 = \delta^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|\mathcal{M}_0|^2$$

★ $2 \rightarrow 2$ cross section

 $d\sigma_{2\to 2} = d\sigma_{SV} \propto |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 + 2\Re[\mathcal{M}_0^*\mathcal{M}_\alpha^{virt}(\lambda)] + \delta^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|\mathcal{M}_0|^2$

★ $2 \rightarrow 3$ cross section

$$d\sigma_{2\to3} = d\sigma_H \propto |\mathcal{M}^{hard}_{\alpha}(\Delta E)|^2$$

- · the phase space integration is performed with MC techniques
- infrared singularities are regularized with a small photon mass λ; collinear ones with a finite (unphysical) quark mass

Virtual corrections

• some virtual-correction diagrams, for W production



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

DY processes

MCWS 10 / 40

Real corrections

 + real radiation and *photon-induced* (with MRST2004QED PDFs) processes diagrams for W...



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

MCWS 11/40

Z NLO diagrams

stolen to A. Vicini, seminar at "La Sapienza", Rome, 8/11/2007

The partonic process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow l^+ l^- (1\gamma)$ at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$



12/40

HORACE: first version

- The Monte Carlo event generator HORACE was originally developed to simulate QED multi-photon radiation in DY (*W* & *Z*) processes in Leading-Log accuracy, by means of a QED PS. Only final state radiation was accounted for
- as in QCD, the QED PS solves the QED DGLAP equation, allowing for
 - ★ inclusion of QED LL corrections up to all orders (resummation)
 - $\star\,$ fully exclusive event generation (up to ∞ photons)
- ★ the QED PS is very similar to the package **PHOTOS**
- → e.g., by comparing the resummed PS and its $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ truncation, the effects purely due to QED higher-orders in the extraction of M_W from M_\perp^W can be disentangled

Matching $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ RC with multi-photon radiation

- in the new version of the generator, a matching of the LL QED PS with the exact EW O(α) calculation is implemented, in order to
 - preserve PS advantages (multi-photon effects, exclusive event generation)
 - $\star\,$ go beyond its approximation (LL accuracy, missing contributions already at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha))$
- the matching avoids the double counting of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ LL, already accounted for by the PS, and "produces" a formula well suited for Monte Carlo generation

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

HORACE results for W

• M_T^W distribution, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ effect at peak and in the tail, h.o. QED effects at peak



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

MCWS 15/40

HORACE results for W



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

DY processes

MCWS 16 / 40

HORACE results for Z

M_{ℓ+ℓ−} distribution, O(α) effect at peak and in the tail, h.o. QED effects at peak



17/40

HORACE results for Z

• lepton and Z rapidity



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Emission of real weak bosons

U. Baur, Phys. Rev. D 75:013005, 2007

- \star virtual weak corrections induce large negative effects due to Sudakov (logs)² in the high Q^2 region
- real radiation of (undetected) real vector bosons (partially) cancels the Sudakov effect
- e.g., $pp \to e^+ \nu_e V + X$ $V \equiv W, Z$ $V \to jj, \nu \overline{\nu}, \dots$



U. Baur, Phys. Rev. D 75:013005, 2007

• e.g., $pp \rightarrow e^+e^-V + X$ $V \equiv W, Z$ $V \rightarrow jj, \nu\bar{\nu}, \dots$



 partial cancellation of Sudakov (logs)² by real vector boson radiation

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

MCWS 20 / 40

Combining EW and QCD corrections

work in progress: Balossini, CMCC, Montagna, M. Moretti, Nicrosini, Piccinini, Treccani, Vicini

• our exercise (preliminary results) is based on the following formula



- best QCD ⇒ MC@NLO, ALPGEN (with PS matching according to MLM prescription, 0+1 jet, 0+1+2 jets)
- EW part (HORACE) is interfaced to HERWIG PS (EW ⊕ QCD LL)
 - * NLO EW is convoluted with QCD LL parton shower $\Rightarrow O(\alpha \alpha_s)$ corrections not reliable where hard non log QCD corrections are important (e.g. high p_{\perp} lepton distribution without cut on the *W* transverse mass). In this case a two-loop calculation needed for a sound estimate of $O(\alpha \alpha_s)$ effects
- ⋆ not suited for true event generation...
- ★ we consider the charged Drell-Yan process

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Monte Carlo tuning: Tevatron and LHC

Monte Carlo	ALPGEN	FEWZ	HORACE	ResBos-A
$\sigma_{ m LO}$ (pb)	906.3(3)	906.20(16)	905.64(4)	905.26(24)

Table: MC tuning at the Tevatron for the LO cross section with cuts of the process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\nu_{\mu}$, using CTEQ6M with $\mu_R = \mu_F = \sqrt{x_1 x_2 s}$

Monte Carlo	ALPGEN	FEWZ	HORACE
$\sigma_{ m LO}$ (pb)	8310(2)	8304(2)	8307.9(2)

Table: MC tuning at the LHC for the LO cross section with cuts of the process $pp \rightarrow W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu_{\mu}$, using MRST2004QED with $\mu_R = \mu_F = \sqrt{p_{\perp,W}^2 + M_W^2}$

Monte Carlo	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm Tevatron}({\rm pb})$	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm LHC}(\rm pb)$
MC@NLO	2638.8(4)	20939(19)
FEWZ	2643.0(8)	21001(14)

Table: MC tuning for MC@NLO and FEWZ NLO inclusive cross sections of the process $p_p^{(-)} \rightarrow W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu_{\mu}$, with CTEQ6M (Tevatron) and MRST2004QED (LHC)

★ After appropriate "tuning", and with same input parameters, cuts and PDFs, Monte Carlos agree at $\sim 0.1\%$ level (or better) ★

QCD @ LHC: M_T^W



each distribution normalized to its cross section (shape differences)

QCD @ LHC: p_{\perp}^{μ}



- · each distribution normalized to its cross section
- ★ p_{\perp} distribution obtained requiring $M_T^W > 1$ TeV

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

$\mathsf{QCD} \oplus \mathsf{EW}$ @ LHC: M_T^W



absolute distributions

$\mathsf{QCD} \oplus \mathsf{EW}$ @ LHC: p_{\perp}^{μ}



- absolute distributions
- $\star p_{\perp}$ distribution obtained requiring $M_T^W > 1$ TeV

< 3

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

QCD @ LHC: number of jets



· around the peak



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

in the tail

PDF's uncertainties @ LHC

 We are studying PDF's uncertaities using the CTEQ and MRST sets (reflecting only the errors of exp. origin in the PDF parameters)



• the error estimate given by CTEQ is sistematically larger than the one with MRST: this can be ascribed to the different value for the parameter T (tolerance) used in the fits ($\sqrt{50}$ for MRST and 10 for CTEQ)

Uncertainties from scale variations



• using MC@NLO

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- ★ a similar study on EW⊕QCD is going on also for Z production in the invariant mass tail, in the context of Les Houches 2007
- * here we are considering also 2-loop weak Sudakov effects



B. Jantzen, S. Pozzorini

< < >>

- Drell-Yan processes will be "standard candles" processes at LHC startup
- they'll help to understand machine & detectors
- and will be used as calibration tools (in particular Z)
- important precision physics can be carried out
 - \star very precise M_W direct measurement
 - * constraints on PDFs
 - ⋆ precise evaluation of collider luminosity
- they play a key role in the direct and indirect search for BSM physics
- theoretical predictions need to match the required accuracy. All the relevant SM effects and RC have to be well under control
 - * QCD corrections
 - ★ Electro-Weak corrections

BACKUP SLIDES

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN & Soton)

MCWS 32 / 40

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

M_W at Hadron Colliders

- M_W is extracted from the p_{\perp}^{ℓ} distribution, showing a (Jacobian) peak at $M_W/2$
- more reliable is $M_T^W = \sqrt{2p_\perp^\ell p_\perp^\nu (1 \cos \phi_{\ell\nu})}$

 $\star\,$ less sensitive to QCD corrections (e.g. $p_{\perp}^W)$



Future goals for ΔM_W

- $\star\,$ Tevatron Run II \Rightarrow 27 MeV
- \star LHC \Rightarrow 15 MeV
- A small ΔM_W (and Δm_{top}) will constraint the indirect limit on M_H

 $\Delta M_W = 27 [15]$ MeV and $\Delta m_{top} =$ 2.7 [1] GeV $\rightarrow \Delta M_H/M_H \simeq 35 [18]\%$

(4) (5) (4) (5)

Background to New Physics

DY as background to the searches of new heavy gauge bosons

from Menici's talk at IFAE 2006



- new heavy gauge bosons decay into lepton pairs
- if existing \rightarrow clear signal even at low luminosity
- if not detected, SM-DY represents the main background whose precise estimate allows to put the correct lower bounds
 → need to control the background at per cent level

Is the SM prediction at large invariant masses under control ?

PS and exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ matrix elements (at parton level)

Consider the LL [$LL \equiv PS$] resummed, $LL O(\alpha)$ and exact $O(\alpha)$ cross sections

•
$$d\sigma_{LL}^{\infty} = \Pi(Q^2, \varepsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} |\mathcal{M}_{n,LL}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

• $d\sigma_{LL}^{\alpha} = [1 + C_{\alpha,LL}] |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + |\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2 d\Phi_1 \equiv d\sigma_{SV}(\varepsilon) + d\sigma_H(\varepsilon)$
• $d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} = [1 + C_{\alpha}] |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + |\mathcal{M}_1|^2 d\Phi_1$

•
$$F_{SV} = 1 + (C_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha,LL})$$
 $F_H = 1 + \frac{|\mathcal{M}_1|^2 - |\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2}$

•
$$d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{at }\mathcal{O}(\alpha)}{=} F_{SV}(1+C_{\alpha,LL})|\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + F_H|\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2 d\Phi_1$$

$$d\sigma_{\underline{matched}}^{\infty} = F_{SV} \Pi(Q^2, \varepsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n} F_{H,i} \right) |\mathcal{M}_{n,LL}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

< <p>A < </p>

Content of the matched formula

- F_{SV} and $F_{H,i}$ are infrared safe and account for missing EW $O(\alpha)$, avoiding double counting of QED LL
- $\left[\sigma_{matched}^{\infty}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)} = \sigma_{exact}^{\alpha}$
- $\sigma^\infty_{matched}$ is "made of" exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ one-loop building blocks
- resummation of higher-order LL contributions preserved
- the cross section is still fully differential in the momenta of the final state particles (including the photons)
- the subtraction of IS mass singularities has to be generalized up to all orders in QED

 \star successfully applied to W and Z Drell-Yan processes

Subtraction of initial state collinear singularities

- IS quark masses regularize the collinear QED divergencies
- the QED IS singularities have to be subtracted from the hard cross section [in analogy with NLO QCD], since they are already accounted in the (QED) evolution of PDFs
- the set **MRSTQED** (2004) includes the QED evolution



 δf [%]

- ★ QED evolution modifies PDFs at 0.1% level for x < 0.1
- dynamic generation of photon distr. function. Need to include photon induced processes in DY

e.g. M. Roth, S. Weinzierl, PLB 590 190 (2004)

QCD @ Tevatron



■ ► ■ つへの MCWS 38/40

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



■ ► ■ つへの MCWS 39/40

PDF's uncertainties @ LHC





